



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,080	12/21/2001	Slim S. Souissi	270/052	1071
30542	7590	08/17/2005	EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER P.O. BOX 80278 SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278			PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/028,080	Applicant(s) SOUISSI ET AL.	
	Examiner Sudhanshu C. Pathak	Art Unit 2634	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on December 21st, 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35, 40-49 and 54-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 36-39 and 50-53 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on December 21st, 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-to-61 are pending in the application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on April 28th, 2003 has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. The references contained in the above mentioned IDS are also included in the IDS filed on March 25th, 2002, therefore the references are considered duplicate and are not considered twice. However, all the references filed on March 25th, 2002 have been considered therefore, the references filed on April 28th, 2003 have also been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1, 14 & 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding to Claim 1, the claim on line 8, discloses "a control function configured to place the device in one of the.....". It is not clear as to what device is configured by the control function. The claim in previous line(s) discloses a first device and a second device.

Regarding to Claim 14, the claim on line 11, discloses "a control function configured to place the device in one of the.....". It is not clear as to what device is

configured by the control function. The claim in previous line(s) discloses a first device and a second device and an interface device.

Regarding to Claim 42, the claim on line 11, discloses "a control function configured to place the system in one of the.....". It is not clear as to what system is configured by the control function. The claim in previous line(s) discloses a first communication system and a second communication system.

5. Claim 29 recites the limitation "the interface chip" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

6. Claim 32 recites the limitation "the primary modem card" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claims 1, 13, 16 & 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art. The claims disclose a communication mode "gateway communication between the first and second communications systems", the specification does not describe so as to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to understand what (configuration) is meant by gateway configuration or how this communication is performed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claims 1-11, 14, 17-31 & 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Souissi (6,785,556).

Regarding to Claim 1, 14, Souissi discloses a multimode modem (Abstract, lines 1-6 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340 & Fig. 6, elements 620-650 & Fig. 9-10) comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first communication system (Abstract, lines 1-6 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340 & Fig. 6, elements 620-650 & Column 1, lines 64-67 & Column 2, lines 1-5 & Fig. 9-10); a second device configured to communicate with a second communication system (Abstract, lines 1-6 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340 & Fig. 6, elements 620-650 & Column 1, lines 64-67 & Column 2, lines 1-5 & Fig. 9-10); a processor communicatively coupled with at least one of the first and second devices (Abstract, lines 1-3 & Fig. 1, elements 113, 120, 121 & Fig. 2a, elements 210, 285 & Fig. 11 & Column 1, lines 18-25, 58-65 & Column 2, lines 37-57 & Column 4, lines 25-35; and a control function configured to place the device in one of the following communication modes (Fig. 1, elements 113, 125, 121 & Fig. 2a, elements 205, 210, 285 & Fig. 2b, element 235 & Fig. 6 & Fig. 11):

communication with the first communication system, communication with the second communication system, simultaneous communication with both the first and second communication systems, or gateway communication between the first and second communication systems (Abstract, lines 1-6 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340 & Fig. 4, element 410 & Fig. 5, element 510 & Fig. 6, elements 620-650 & Column 1, lines 64-67 & Column 2, lines 1-5 & Fig. 9-10 & Fig. 7 & Fig. 8 & Column 6, lines 53-67 & Column 7, lines 1-42). Souissi also discloses a host device comprising a processor (Fig. 1, element 121 & Fig. 2, element 215).

Regarding to Claim 2 & 17, Souissi discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes as described above. Souissi also discloses the processor comprising the control function (Fig. 1, elements 113, 125, 121 & Fig. 2a, elements 205, 210, 285 & Fig. 2b, element 285, 235 & Fig. 6 & Fig. 11 & Column 6, lines 53-67 & Column 7, lines 1-15 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340).

Regarding to Claims 3, 5, 21 & 25, Souissi discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes as described above. Souissi also discloses the first and second devices comprising a RF section and a baseband section, wherein the base band

section comprises the control function (Fig. 1, element 110, 115, 111, 113 & Fig. 2a, elements 285, 260, 215, 205 & Fig. 2b, elements 235, 262, 263, 285 & Fig. 3, elements 360, 320-340 & Fig. 6).

Regarding to Claims 4, 6, 22 & 26, Souissi discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes wherein the first and second devices further comprise a RF section and a baseband section as described above. Souissi also discloses a communication interface between the first and second device wherein the interface allows the control function to enable the second device (Fig. 1, element 125 & Fig. 2a, elements 205, 285, 215 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340 & Fig. 6 & Column 4, lines 50-60 & Column 5, lines 50-60 & Column 6, lines 3-24).

Regarding to Claim 7-11, 18-20, 23-24, 27-28, 30-31 & 56-61, Souissi discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes as described above. Souissi also discloses scanning a predetermined plurality of modem modes of operation to determine one or more modes of operation that satisfy a predetermined criterion (Column 3, lines 3-15 & Column 6, lines 3-24 & Fig. 4, elements 420). Souissi also discloses scanning if the communication with the specified communication system is not available (Fig.

7, elements 720). Souissi also discloses scanning for another communication system coverage for a predetermined time period (Fig. 5, elements 560, 570 & Column 6, lines 36-46).

Regarding to Claim 29, Souissi discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes as described above. Souissi also discloses implementing the control function on an interface chip (Column 1, lines 57-67 & Fig. 3, element 315).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 12, 15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souissi (6,785,556) in view of Jalloul et al. (PG-PUB 2004/0157609).

Regarding to Claims 12 & 15, Souissi discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes after querying the availability of the communication system as described above. However, Souissi does not disclose configuring the modem

devices to engage in simultaneous communication between two different communications systems.

Jalloul discloses a method and apparatus to provide for soft handoff operation of a signal transmitted from a first communication standard and also a signal transmitted from a second communication standard (Abstract, lines 1-5 & Claim 1). Jalloul also discloses implementing the apparatus in mobile stations operating in a multi-mode standards (Specification, Page 1, Paragraph 5). Jalloul also discloses soft handoff includes the mobile station to receive and combine from multiple base stations operating at different standards simultaneously (Specification, Page 1, Paragraphs 6-7). Jalloul also discloses the mobile station compares the carrier to interference ratio to a threshold and initiates the handoff with the second base station according to the second communication standard (Specification, Page 2, Paragraph 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Jalloul teaches a process of simultaneous communication of a device with signals of multiple standards and this can be implemented in the system as described in Souissi so as to provide uninterrupted connectivity between the user device to the network while switching the communications systems to further improve the quality of the received signals and in improving the quality of the demodulation and decoding of the received data.

13. Claims 32-35 & 40-42, 48-49 & 54-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souissi (6,785,556) in view of Loh et al. (6,282,086).

Regarding to Claims 32-35, 40-42, 48-49 & 54-55, Souissi discloses a multimode modem (Abstract, lines 1-6 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340 & Fig. 6, elements 620-650 & Fig. 9-10) comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first communication system (Abstract, lines 1-6 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340 & Fig. 6, elements 620-650 & Column 1, lines 64-67 & Column 2, lines 1-5 & Fig. 9-10); a second device configured to communicate with a second communication system (Abstract, lines 1-6 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340 & Fig. 6, elements 620-650 & Column 1, lines 64-67 & Column 2, lines 1-5 & Fig. 9-10); a processor communicatively coupled with at least one of the first and second devices (Abstract, lines 1-3 & Fig. 1, elements 113, 120, 121 & Fig. 2a, elements 210, 285 & Fig. 11 & Column 1, lines 18-25, 58-65 & Column 2, lines 37-57 & Column 4, lines 25-35; and a control function configured to place the device in one of the following communication modes (Fig. 1, elements 113, 125, 121 & Fig. 2a, elements 205, 210, 285 & Fig. 2b, element 235 & Fig. 6 & Fig. 11): communication with the first communication system, communication with the second communication system, simultaneous communication with both the first and second communication systems, or gateway communication between the first and second communication systems (Abstract, lines 1-6 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340 & Fig. 4, element 410 & Fig. 5, element 510 & Fig. 6, elements 620-650 & Column 1, lines 64-67 & Column 2, lines 1-5 & Fig. 9-10 & Fig. 7 & Fig. 8 & Column 6, lines 53-67 & Column 7, lines 1-42). Souissi also discloses a host device comprising a processor (Fig. 1, element 121 & Fig. 2, element 215). However, Souissi does not disclose the modem implemented

in a modem card comprising a standard form factor and a secondary modem card comprising a smaller form factor.

Loh discloses a card device receptacles arrangement of a first receptacle and a second receptacle for separately receiving a card device in a portable computer (Abstract, lines 1-9 & Fig. 1 & Fig. 4-5 & Fig. 11-12 & Column 2, lines 5-18). Loh also discloses the receptacle for receiving the second card is small than the receptacle for the first card (Column 2, lines 21-42). Loh also discloses the card receptacle conforms to the PCMCIA format (Column 3, lines 30-45). Loh also discloses the secondary card may provide auxiliary or additional features to the computer as provided by the primary card (Column 3, lines 65-67 & Column 4, lines 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Loh teaches a card device receptacle that includes the ability to add an additional secondary card and the modem as described in Souissi can be implemented in the card/receptacle so as to increase the functionality of the computer while maintaining the standard form factor of the device and without increasing the size of the computer or user device.

14. Claims 43 & 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souissi (6,785,556) in view of Loh et al. (6,282,086) in further view of Jalloul et al. (PG-PUB 2004/0157609).

Regarding to Claims 43 & 45, Souissi in view of Loh discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor

coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes and wherein the secondary modem card has a smaller form factor than the secondary modem card as described above. However, Souissi does not disclose configuring the modem devices to engage in simultaneous communication between two different communications systems.

Jalloul discloses a method and apparatus to provide for soft handoff operation of a signal transmitted from a first communication standard and also a signal transmitted from a second communication standard (Abstract, lines 1-5 & Claim 1). Jalloul also discloses implementing the apparatus in mobile stations operating in a multi-mode standards (Specification, Page 1, Paragraph 5). Jalloul also discloses soft handoff includes the mobile station to receive and combine from multiple base stations operating at different standards simultaneously (Specification, Page 1, Paragraphs 6-7). Jalloul also discloses the mobile station compares the carrier to interference ratio to a threshold and initiates the handoff with the second base station according to the second communication standard (Specification, Page 2, Paragraph 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Jalloul teaches a process of simultaneous communication of a device with signals of multiple standards and this can be implemented in the system as described in Souissi so as to provide uninterrupted connectivity between the user device to the network while switching the communications systems to further improve the quality of the received signals and in improving the quality of the demodulation and decoding of the received data.

Regarding to Claim 45, Souissi in view of Loh in further view of Jalloul discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes including simultaneous communication with both the communication systems and wherein the secondary modem card has a smaller form factor than the secondary modem card as described above. Souissi further discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes as described above. Souissi also discloses the processor comprising the control function (Fig. 1, elements 113, 125, 121 & Fig. 2a, elements 205, 210, 285 & Fig. 2b, element 285, 235 & Fig. 6 & Fig. 11 & Column 6, lines 53-67 & Column 7, lines 1-15 & Fig. 3, elements 320-340).

Regarding to Claims 46-47, Souissi in view of Loh in further view of Jalloul discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes including simultaneous communication with both the communication systems and wherein the secondary modem card has a smaller form factor than the secondary modem card as described

above. Souissi further discloses a multi-mode modem comprising a first device configured to communicate with a first system, a second device configured to communicate with a second system, a processor coupled to the first and second devices, and a control function configured to place the device into multiple modes as described above. Souissi also discloses scanning a predetermined plurality of modem modes of operation to determine one or more modes of operation that satisfy a predetermined criterion (Column 3, lines 3-15 & Column 6, lines 3-24 & Fig. 4, elements 420). Souissi also discloses scanning if the communication with the specified communication system is not available (Fig. 7, elements 720). Souissi also discloses scanning for another communication system coverage for a predetermined time period (Fig. 5, elements 560, 570 & Column 6, lines 36-46).

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 36-39 & 50-53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, it is recommended to the applicant to amend all the claims so as to be patentable over the cited prior art of record. A detailed list of pertinent references is included with this Office Action (See Attached "Notice of References Cited" (PTO-892)).

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number is (571)-272-3038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9am-6pm.

- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)-272-3056
- The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sudhanshu C. Pathak


STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600